	K2CAADROC	Conference
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2		x
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	V .	19 CR 338 (GHW)
5	MOAZU KROMAH,	
6	Defendant.	
7		x
8		New York, N.Y. February 11, 2020
9		10:00 a.m.
10	D (
11	Before:	
12	HON.	GREGORY H. WOODS,
13		District Judge
14		APPEARANCES
15	GEOFFREY S. BERMAN United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York JARROD SCHAEFFER SAGAR RAVI Assistant United States Attorney COURTNEY ANNE CHADWELL Attorney for Defendant Kromah	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	ALSO PRESENT: TAB F. DRA	MMEH, Mandingo Language Interpreter
23		
24		
25		

K2CAADROC Conference

1	(Case called)	
2	MR. SCHAEFFER: Good morning.	
3	Jarrod Schaffer and Sagar Ravi, for the government.	
4	Good morning, your Honor.	
5	THE COURT: Good. Thank you.	
6	MS. CHADWELL: Courtney Chadwell, for the defendant.	
7	Good morning, your Honor.	
8	THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning.	
9	Let me note for the record that we're using the	
10	services of an interpreter here today.	
11	Mr. Kromah, please, let me know if at any point during	
12	today's conference you have any difficulty hearing or	
13	understanding anything that I or anyone else in the courtroom	
14	says.	
15	So, counsel, I scheduled this as a status conference.	
16	What can you tell me about the status of the case?	
17	MR. SCHAEFFER: Thank you, your Honor.	
18	Since our last conference we have been discussing with	
19	counsel for defendant a potential resolution of the matter.	
20	Those discussions continue to be ongoing. However, we think	
21	that at this point it would make sense to set a motion	
22	schedule.	
23	THE COURT: Good. Thank you.	
24	So, let me turn to counsel for defendant.	
25	What motions do you anticipate may be raised by the	

Conference

1 defense in this case?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHADWELL: I'm filling-in on this case, your Honor, but my understanding is that there are going to be motions to suppress and I'm not sure exactly what other motions.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Do you have a sense of what the evidence is that would be at issue? What's the basis for the motion?

MS. CHADWELL: I'm not sure, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Do you expect that this is a motion of the type that will require a hearing?

MS. CHADWELL: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Thank you. Good. So, let's do that. I am going to set a motion date. I'd also like to set a trial date.

Counsel for the United States, what can you tell me regarding your expectations regarding the duration of the trial in this case?

MR. SCHAEFFER: We expect that a trial in this matter would last approximately two weeks, your Honor. I would note, as the Court is aware, that there are additional defendants who have yet to be apprehended in this case. There is also an additional defendant who is currently undergoing extradition proceedings in Senegal. The United States has checked on the

Conference

status of those proceedings in recent weeks and, unfortunately, they're at a stage where it simply needs to go through the political process and needs to be considered by political figures in this country. So, we're unable to offer when this process would be concluded at this time. We do expect that the defendant may be extradited from that country. We're just not able to estimate when.

THE COURT: Thank you.

So, do we know at this point if this could be a question of months or years? It turns in part on when we're able to take this defendant to trial. I don't want to delay this defendant's trial unduly as a result of factors that he cannot control.

MR. SCHAEFFER: Yes, your Honor. I don't expect that it would be years. It in all likelihood would be several months. We would proceed with Mr. Kromah separately from the other defendants.

THE COURT: Thank you. Good.

So, let me hear from the parties.

Counsel, let me ask if you can tell me when you would propose to go to trial on this case? I expect that I will be relatively willing to accommodate the parties' desires regarding a trial schedule. Once I establish a trial schedule however, that will be a firm date. So, if you'd like to confer, counsel, please do. Then I'd like to hear the parties'

K2CAADROC Conference

prospective proposals regarding a trial date.

2 (Pause)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Counsel, have you had the opportunity to confer regarding potential dates for trial in this matter?

MR. SCHAEFFER: We have, your Honor.

THE COURT: What would you propose?

MR. SCHAEFFER: Is the Court is amenable, we would propose a trial beginning July 27th and a motion schedule where defense motions would be filed April 15th and the government's response on May 6th.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

I am happy to adopt the parties' proposal that we commence trial on July 27th with the expectation that the trial will last two weeks.

Now, counsel, let me just confirm that in proposing the trial date of July 27th that each of you have reviewed your calendars and that you are sure that there are no conflicts or competing matters that would effect your preparation for trial on that date and associated pretrial matters.

Is that right, counsel for the United States?

MR. SCHAEFFER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel for defendant?

MS. CHADWELL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good. Thank you very much.

112 0121211

So, I am going to set trial to begin here on

July 27th. Let me just say very briefly a few words about that

trial date. That is a firm date. It's not going to change.

To that end, let me just note something for the benefit of

Mr. Kromah.

If any circumstances arise and you want or need to change counsel, for example, if you have retained counsel, that you become eligible for appointed counsel or have appointed counsel but one to retain counsel or for any reason, it's absolutely crucial that you raise that as soon as possible.

I'm not saying that I expect that you will or should want to do that. But I just want to raise this issue with you now.

I do so because a lawyer needs adequate time to prepare for trial and the trial date that I've just established is not going to change. So, if you were to have an application to change counsel, it would be in your interest to raise that as promptly as possible. If you want to wait until just before the trial date, I might not grant your application or I might grant your application but then that person would have less time to prepare for trial because, again, the trial date is not going to change. If you choose to replace your counsel late and shortly before the trial. So, do not expect that by changing counsel you you will obtain an extension of this trial date. It is a firm date.

So, counsel, I will issue an order later today that

will establish deadlines for briefing of any motions. I'd like
to ask about the proposal that the motions be due by April 15
however. Why do you propose that? My inclination is to
establish an earlier date understanding that this motion that
may require some kind of an evidentiary hearing and timetable
that the parties have proposed would have the motion fully
briefed presumably sometime in mid May. If I were to have a
hearing date that would be at the end of May and I would need
to issue a decision substantially before trial so that the
parties would know what my decision was. It's not clear to me
that the schedule proposed which has initial briefing not due
until April 15th will permit that. As a result, my inclination
is to establish a much faster turnaround for the defendant's
motion, namely, one in which the defendant's motion would be
due approximately a month prior to the date that the parties
have proposed here.

Counsel, why is it that you have proposed that defendant's motion not be filed until April 15th? I note that discovery has long since been completed. Why would approximately a month and a week from today not be sufficient time for the defense to prepare and file any motion?

MS. CHADWELL: We could probably do the end of March or mid March, your Honor. I just chose mid April because I know that the attorney who will be handling the motions is out at the beginning of April.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. CHADWELL: I'd rather give him more time than less time.

THE COURT: Thank you. I'll set a schedule when I return to chambers. I expect that that schedule will have the defense motions due sometime in mid March. I will retain the proposed gap between the defendant's motions and the government's opposition and require that any reply by the defense be filed no later than a week following the government's opposition. I'll set a hearing date in that order. I'll also establish deadlines for pretrial submissions as a date for final pretrial conference in this case.

While I have you here let me just say a few brief words about the pretrial submissions that are required under my individual rules of practice. They're relatively straightforward. The only thing that I want to highlight here is that the parties may choose if you believe it would be helpful and efficient to present the Court with a set of --I'll call it joint jury charges -- and I'm not expecting that the parties would agree on everything. Rather, I would expect that the government would do a first draft of all of the charges and then that rather than submitting to me a dueling set of charges that the defense would instead make specific comments on the government's charges. In the same way that the government as charges will, I expect be supported by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

invitations pointing me to relevant precedent, I would expect that any objections to or different suggestions by the defense would similarly be supported by references to relevant precedent. My hope would be that the defense would also provide me with specific alternative language with respect to any portion of the charge to which they object.

I'm not ordering that you proceed in this way. simply requesting that the parties confer about the prospect of proceeding in that way. It's substantially more efficient for me to look at such a document rather than looking at two fully competing sets of charges. Please be reassured that if we were to proceed in that way, I would not defer in any way to the government's proposed charges merely because they would be in the main line text. Rather, I would evaluate each of the parties' proposals on the merits based on the substance of the proposal and the precedent that supports it. So, please, do confer about that again. It's more efficient for me and it may also be more efficient as a whole for all of the parties.

So, counsel, you should see an order later today establishing that schedule.

Counsel, is there anything else that we should talk about here before we come to the speedy trial clock?

> No, your Honor. MR. SCHAEFFER:

MS. CHADWELL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good. Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Counsel for the United States, do you have an application?

MR. SCHAEFFER: Yes, your Honor. Given that the parties are continuing their pretrial discussions and their disposition of the matter may be possible and the fact that defense counsel needs time to begin preparing any motions, we would request that speedy trial time be excluded through the date of trial.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel for defendant?

MS. CHADWELL: Defendant has no problem with that.

THE COURT: Good. Thank you very much.

I will exclude time from today until July 27, 2020.

After balancing the factors specified in 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(H (7), I find that the ends of justice served by excluding such time, outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and because it will allow time for the defendant to prepare any motions and time for the parties to negotiate a pretrial resolution of this case, as well as to prepare for trial itself.

Thank you very much, counsel. Anything else that we need to address before we adjourn?

MR. SCHAEFFER: No. Thank you, your Honor.

MS. CHADWELL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

```
K2CAADROC
                                  Conference
 1
                This proceeding is adjourned.
 2
                (Adjourned)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```